

## **STATEMENT OF THE SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW**

On August 27, 2009, a telephonic interview was held regarding the present application. The participants in the telephonic interview were Primary Examiner Benjamin R. Bruckart and Applicants' Attorney Gary V. Harkcom. During the interview, the rejection of the three independent claims, i.e., claims 1, 13, and 16, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination of FURLONG and PESSI was primarily discussed. In particular, the discussion involved possibly amending each of independent claims 1, 13, and 16 to inclusively recite the four different forms of session request processing, as well as the manner in which the preferences of the session terminator are determined. The relevancy of HIRI, KHOURI, and PROKUP were also briefly discussed. A general agreement was reached that a response consistent with the interview discussion would likely overcome the rejection of claims 1, 13, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over FURLONG and PESSI, and would possibly result in an indication of allowable subject matter.